Strategic Integration of Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage within Global ESG Frameworks

The global industrial landscape is defined by a paradigm shift where the technical viability of Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) has intersected with the mandatory requirements of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) compliance. For heavy industries such as cement, steel, and chemicals, CCUS has transitioned from an elective decarbonization strategy to a foundational necessity for corporate survival and capital access.[1] This evolution is underpinned by the realization that achieving the $1.5^{\circ}C$ target established by the Paris Agreement is impossible through electrification and renewable energy alone, particularly for sectors with inherent chemical process emissions.[2]

Theoretical and Operational Foundations of CCUS and ESG

The integration of CCUS into the corporate strategy requires a precise understanding of its constituent technologies and their alignment with evolving sustainability metrics. As of 2026, the definitions of these domains have expanded to reflect their maturity and the regulatory pressure exerted by global financial markets.[3]

Defining Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage

Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) comprises a suite of technologies designed to prevent CO_2 from entering the atmosphere or to remove existing CO_2 directly from ambient air.[6] The process begins with capture, which utilizes chemical solvents, physical adsorbents, or membranes to separate CO_2 from industrial flue gases or the atmosphere.[6] Following capture, the CO_2 is compressed and transported—typically via high-pressure pipelines or specialized maritime vessels—to its final destination.[5]

The distinction between utilization (CCU) and storage (CCS) is critical for ESG reporting. CCS involves the permanent sequestration of CO_2 in deep geological formations, such as saline aquifers or depleted oil and gas reservoirs, effectively removing it from the carbon cycle for millennia.[9] CCU, conversely, treats the captured CO_2 as a feedstock to produce synthetic fuels, chemicals, or building materials.[12] While CCU supports circular economy principles, the permanence of the carbon reduction depends on the end product; for example, CO_2

mineralized into concrete is permanently stored, while CO_2 in synthetic aviation fuel is re-released upon combustion.[9]

The ESG Paradigm in 2026

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks have evolved from voluntary disclosure systems into rigid regulatory mandates. In 2026, the focus has shifted toward "double materiality," a principle requiring firms to report both the impact of their operations on the environment (impact materiality) and the risks that climate change and environmental degradation pose to their financial stability (financial materiality).[15]

ESG Pillar	Core Objectives in 2026	CCUS Alignment
Environmental	Climate mitigation, pollution reduction, and circular economy transition.[17]	Direct abatement of hard-to-abate Scope 1 and Scope 3 emissions.[3]
Social	Just transition, community safety, and workforce development.[20]	Provision of high-skilled jobs and community benefit agreements.[22]
Governance	Board-level climate oversight, data integrity, and anti-greenwashing.[24]	Robust monitoring and reporting of sequestration permanence.[11]

The environmental component now demands granular data on Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions across Scopes 1, 2, and 3, while the social component evaluates a company's "Social License to Operate" (SLO) through its engagement with local communities affected by industrial projects.[19] Governance has expanded to include the integration of sustainability targets into executive compensation and the verification of non-financial data to the same rigor as financial statements.[15]

Technological Advancements and Implementation Trajectories

The technological landscape of CCUS in 2026 is characterized by a transition from pilot-scale demonstrations to industrial-scale infrastructure. The global capture capacity is projected to reach 0.7 gigatonnes per annum by 2036, representing a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 23.6% from the 2026 baseline.[6]

Capture Technologies: Methods, Cost, and Maturity

Carbon capture methodologies are increasingly selected based on their specific compatibility with industrial flue gas characteristics. While amine-based chemical absorption remains the standard for low-concentration streams, adsorption and oxy-fuel methods are gaining traction for cement and steel applications due to their potential for higher energy efficiency. Direct Air Capture (DAC) has emerged as the premier solution for atmospheric removals, crucial for "net-zero" compliance.

Capture Technology	Capture Cost ($/ton CO2)	TRL	Description
Chemical Absorption (Amine)	$50 - $180	9 (Mature)	Uses liquid solvents to bind CO_2 chemically. The industry standard for cement and power plant post-combustion capture.
Physical Absorption	$20 - $35	9 (Mature)	CO_2 dissolves in solvents under pressure. Highly effective for high-concentration streams like ammonia and natural gas processing.
Adsorption (VPSA/ PSA)	$35 - $60	7-8 (Demo)	Uses solid sorbents (zeolites, MOFs) to adhere CO_2 to surfaces. Emerging as a cost-effective alternative for traditional steelmaking processes.
Oxy-fuel Combustion	$60 - $90	7-8 (Demo)	Combusts fuel in pure oxygen rather than air, resulting in high-concentration CO_2 and water vapor. Applied in cement kilns and steel furnaces.

Membrane Separation	\$70 - \$80	6 (Pilot)	Employs semi-permeable barriers to separate CO_2 from other gases. Targeting lower energy consumption in various industrial flue gas applications.
Direct Air Capture (DAC)	\$125 - \$700+	6 (Emerging)	Scrubs CO_2 directly from ambient air using solid sorbents or liquid solvents. Essential for net-negative targets.
Cryogenic Capture	\$60 - \$150+	3-6 (Emerging)	Cools flue gas to extremely low temperatures to liquefy or solidify CO_2. Offers high purity but currently faces high energy and capital costs.

Technological breakthroughs in 2026 include the commercialization of water-lean and demixing solvents, which require significantly less heat for regeneration, thereby reducing the energy penalty of capture by up to 20%.[6] Furthermore, emerging solid sorbents and Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are demonstrating superior selectivity and durability in handling impurities, which is essential for capturing CO_2 from complex flue gases in the steel and chemical industries.

Scaling Speed and Implementability Challenges

The speed of CCUS scaling is currently limited by the "chicken-and-egg" problem: industrial emitters are reluctant to invest in capture equipment without a guaranteed transportation and storage network, while infrastructure operators require firm offtake commitments to justify multi-billion dollar capital expenditures.[29] To debottleneck this development, the industry has shifted toward the "Hub and Cluster" model. Clusters such as the Northern Lights project in Norway and the East Coast Cluster in the UK allow multiple emitters to share CO_2 transport pipelines and storage reservoirs, effectively spreading the infrastructure costs and operational risks.[30]

Implementability is also being enhanced by the development of "Carbon Capture as a Service" (CCaaS). Under this model, technology providers manage the capture plant on the emitter's site, charging a per-ton fee. This allows industrial companies to focus on their core operations while meeting ESG targets without assuming the technical or operational risks associated with CO_2 management.[31]

The Strategic Relationship Between CCUS and ESG

In the 2026 corporate environment, CCUS and ESG are no longer distinct silos; they are mutually reinforcing components of a sustainable business model. CCUS provides the empirical proof of decarbonization required by ESG investors, while ESG frameworks provide the financial and regulatory justification for the high capital investments required by CCUS.[3]

CCUS as a Tool for Emission Management

Under ESG reporting standards like the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), companies must quantify their gross Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions.[18] For the cement and steel sectors, Scope 1 emissions—direct emissions from production—often account for over 60% of their total carbon footprint.[34] CCUS is the primary technological lever available to these sectors to achieve absolute emission reductions.[1]

Moreover, CCUS is increasingly relevant for Scope 3 management. As downstream customers—such as the automotive or construction industries—face their own ESG pressures to reduce value chain emissions, they are demanding low-carbon "green steel" and "green cement".[3] Companies that implement CCUS can provide these premium products, thereby securing their position within the low-carbon supply chains of the future.[3]

Double Materiality and Financial Risk

The integration of CCUS is also a strategic response to financial materiality. Investors and credit rating agencies now factor climate risk into their assessments of a company's long-term viability.[3] A company in a hard-to-abate sector that fails to adopt a credible CCUS pathway faces "transition risks," including escalating carbon taxes, the risk of stranded assets, and a higher cost of debt.[3] Conversely, firms that demonstrate proactive CCUS integration can access specialized "green" capital markets, such as sustainability-linked loans and green bonds, which often offer more favorable terms.[3]

The Role of CCUS under Global ESG Frameworks

As of 2026, CCUS is formally recognized within major ESG reporting frameworks as a legitimate and necessary tool for climate change mitigation and removal.

EU Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and ESRS

The CSRD, which has expanded its scope to approximately 50,000 companies in 2026, relies on the ESRS E1 standard for climate disclosures.[40]

1. **Transition Plans (E1-1):** Companies must disclose a transition plan compatible with limiting global warming to $1.5^{\circ}C$.[18] For heavy industry, this plan must detail how CCUS will be used to mitigate "locked-in" emissions from long-lived assets like blast furnaces or cement kilns.[40]
2. **GHG Removals and Credits (E1-7):** This disclosure requirement distinguishes between internal emission reductions and atmospheric removals.[42] CCS projects that permanently sequester CO_2 are categorized as removals, providing a crucial mechanism for firms to balance residual emissions that cannot be eliminated through process changes.[9]
3. **Internal Carbon Pricing (E1-8):** Companies are encouraged to report how they use internal carbon prices to incentivize the deployment of technologies like CCUS, effectively de-risking the project against future regulatory costs.[18]

International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB)

The ISSB standards, S1 (General Requirements) and S2 (Climate-related Disclosures), have been adopted by over 40 jurisdictions as of 2026, representing 60% of global GDP.[7] ISSB S2 specifically mandates the disclosure of climate-related risks and the transition plans to manage them. For the global energy sector, CCUS is recognized as a strategic imperative for managing the transition risk of fossil fuel assets.

California SB 253 and SB 261

In the United States, California's landmark climate disclosure laws, effective in 2026, require companies with annual revenues over $1 billion to disclose their Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions.[41] SB 261 further requires bi-annual reporting on climate-related financial risks.[25] For industrial entities doing business in California, CCUS is not just a decarbonization tool but a regulatory compliance requirement to ensure continued market access and transparency.[27]

Integrating CCUS into ESG Frameworks: Potential Value and Value Creation

Integrating CCUS into an ESG framework is not merely a reporting exercise; it is an act of "Strategic Value Creation." By aligning carbon management with sustainability goals, companies can unlock new revenue streams and improve their operational resilience.[3]

The Circular Carbon Economy and CCU

Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU) enables a "Circular Carbon Economy" where waste CO_2 is transformed into an economic asset.[14] In the manufacturing sector, integrating circularity metrics into ESG frameworks provides a more transparent assessment of resource efficiency.[44]

Circularity Metric	CCU Impact	ESG Value Contribution
Circular Material Use Rate (CMUR)	Replaces virgin fossil feedstocks with captured CO_2.[44]	Enhances the "Environmental" score by reducing resource depletion.[44]
Product Carbon Intensity	Lowers the embodied carbon of final products (e.g., e-methanol, green concrete).[14]	Allows for "Green Premium" pricing and satisfies Scope 3 requirements of customers.[3]
Material Traceability	Digital Product Passports (DPPs) track the origin of the carbon feedstock.[35]	Improves "Governance" by ensuring transparency and preventing greenwashing.[24]

ESG Scoring and the "Green Premium"

Companies that lead in CCUS implementation often achieve higher ESG scores, which correlates with better decision-making and financial performance.[39] A high ESG score signals to consumers and partners that a company is ethically sound and ethically prepared for future challenges.[46] In the steel and cement markets, this is manifesting as a "Green Premium," where low-carbon products command higher prices from ESG-conscious developers and governmental procurement programs.

Profitability and Economic Feasibility of CCUS in Heavy Industry

The decision for a corporate to deploy CCUS must ultimately be justified by profitability, even within the context of ESG constraints. In 2026, the economic case for CCUS is being bolstered by a combination of falling costs, rising carbon prices, and aggressive government incentives.[36]

The ESG Paradox: Cost vs. Commitment

Industry executives face an "ESG Paradox": environmental mandates demand immediate action, yet the levelized costs of electricity for plants equipped with carbon capture can be 1.5 to 2 times higher than current alternatives.[3] However, this calculation is incomplete without considering the "Hidden ESG Multipliers." For example, using CCUS in oil refineries allows for the production of blue hydrogen, which lowers lifecycle emissions and diversifies revenue streams into the growing clean energy market.[3]

Government Incentives and Carbon Pricing

The profitability of CCUS in 2026 is largely determined by regional policy environments.

1. **United States (45Q):** The 45Q tax credit provides \$85 per ton for CO_2 stored in geological reservoirs and \$60 per ton for CO_2 used for Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) or utilization.[8] This makes capture profitable for high-concentration sources like ethanol and ammonia.[6]
2. **European Union (ETS and CBAM):** With carbon prices in the EU ETS often exceeding the cost of capture for many industrial processes, CCUS becomes a cost-avoidance strategy.[36] The arrival of the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) in 2026 further protects domestic producers by taxing the carbon content of imported goods, ensuring that those who invest in CCUS are not undercut by high-carbon competitors.[6]
3. **India (2026 Budget):** India's Union Budget 2026 committed approximately \$2.2 billion over five years to scale CCUS across power, steel, and cement sectors, reflecting a global shift toward direct state funding to meet ESG commitments.[47]

The Risk of Inaction

For corporations in the cement, steel, and chemical sectors, the "profitability" of CCUS must be weighed against the cost of inaction. As carbon regulations tighten globally, high-carbon producers face increasing penalties, loss of market share, and potential divestment from ESG-focused institutional investors. In this context, CCUS is a strategic investment in the future "license to operate".[3]

Collaboration Between CCUS Companies and Industrial Corporates

The scale and complexity of CCUS projects necessitate unprecedented levels of collaboration across the industrial value chain. As of 2026, these partnerships are essential for managing technical risk and ensuring ESG compliance.[12]

Joint Venture Models and Shared Infrastructure

Most CCUS projects are now developed through joint ventures or hub models. In these arrangements, industrial emitters focus on the capture component, while specialized CCUS companies or consortiums manage the transportation and storage infrastructure.

Collaborative Area	CCUS Company Role	Industrial Company Role	ESG Compliance Outcome

Technical Design	Provision of capture technology and solvent management.[31]	Integration of capture unit with process waste heat.[9]	Improved energy efficiency and lower Scope 1 emissions.[9]
Data Management	Monitoring and verification of sequestered CO_2.[49]	Metering and allocation of captured CO_2 at the site boundary.[16]	Audit-ready ESG reports with verified emission removals.[15]
Social License	Safety modeling for CO_2 transport and storage.	Negotiating community benefits and local job training.[23]	Strong "Social" pillar performance and reduced project delay risk.[20]
Financial Risk	Access to infrastructure funding and carbon credits.[31]	Commitment to long-term CO_2 offtake agreements.[30]	Bankable projects with clear ROI for all stakeholders.[29]

Data Protocols and Reporting Standards

A critical area for collaboration is the establishment of standardized data management protocols for joint venture operations. Because CO_2 from different sources is often aggregated into a single pipeline, partners must agree on methodologies for measuring CO_2 purity, pressure, and volume. These technical schedules are essential for regulatory and environmental reporting, ensuring that each emitter can accurately claim the carbon credits or tax incentives associated with their portion of the stored gas.[49]

Advanced digital tools, including AI-driven subsurface modeling and blockchain-based traceability systems, are being co-developed to provide a "single source of truth" for sequestered carbon.[26] This transparency is fundamental to satisfying the governance requirements of the CSRD and ISSB, as well as maintaining the trust of the communities where these projects are located.[16]

Navigating Social and Governance Risks

Despite the technical and economic progress, the success of CCUS in 2026 depends on a company’s ability to manage "wicked" social and governance risks.[53]

Community Acceptance and the "Wicked" Nature of CCUS

Public opposition has been a primary cause of project failure, often driven by anxieties regarding CO_2 leaks, land use, and the perception of CCUS as a "license to continue" fossil fuel use.[20] In 2026, leading companies address these concerns through early and genuine engagement, acknowledging uncertainties, and creating mechanisms for independent oversight.[22]

The "Social" pillar of ESG now requires companies to demonstrate "Procedural Equity"—ensuring that the communities most affected by a project have a meaningful role in its design and benefit from its outcomes.[50] Projects that fail to achieve a Social License to Operate (SLO) face significant delays, legal challenges, and reputational damage that can erode the ESG score and deter investors.

Long-Term Liability and Governance

Sequestration sites require monitoring for decades or centuries, creating complex governance challenges regarding long-term liability.[10] Regulatory frameworks in 2026, such as those in China and the EU, are increasingly defining the conditions under which liability can be transferred from the project operator to the state.[11] For corporate boards, overseeing these long-term commitments is a critical fiduciary duty, requiring robust internal controls and transparent reporting to avoid future legal liabilities.[11]

Conclusion: The Strategic Path Forward

The integration of Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage into the ESG framework is no longer an optional strategy for energy-intensive industries; it is the cornerstone of industrial competitiveness in the 2026 global economy.[2]

Final Analysis

CCUS provides the empirical, technical solution required to satisfy the "Environmental" demands of current ESG regulations like the CSRD and ISSB, while the "Social" and "Governance" components of ESG provide the necessary structure for CCUS projects to achieve long-term viability and public support.[15] While capture costs and infrastructure hurdles remain, the convergence of high carbon prices, powerful tax incentives (45Q), and the threat of market exclusion (CBAM) has fundamentally altered the economic calculation.[8]

For industrial corporates, the profitability of CCUS is derived not just from immediate carbon tax savings, but from the ability to secure green premiums, maintain access to low-cost capital, and avoid the stranding of high-value assets. Success in this new landscape requires deep, cross-sectoral collaboration, standardized data governance, and a proactive commitment to community benefit and procedural equity.[31]

The path to a net-zero future is through the strategic alignment of technology and governance. Companies that embrace CCUS as a core element of their ESG value creation will lead the sustainable industrial revolution, transforming their carbon liability into a competitive advantage for decades to come.[3]

Works cited

1. (PDF) Advances and Integration of Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS): A Circular Approach Towards a Net-Zero Carbon Economy - ResearchGate, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395458509_Advances_and_Integration_of_Carbon_Capture_Utilization_and_Storage_CCUS_A_Circular_Approach_Towards_a_Net-Zero_Carbon_Economy
2. Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS): A Key Driver of Industrial Decarbonization and Net-Zero - SIA Partners, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.sia-partners.com/en/insights/publications/carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-ccus-a-key-driver-industrial
3. CCUS Engineering: Redefining ESG Value | Quest Global, accessed February 10, 2026, https://horizons.questglobal.com/how-carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-is-redefining-esg-value-creation-in-energy-intensive-industries/
4. CCUS: more future with less CO_2 | Heidelberg Materials, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.heidelbergmaterials.com/en/sustainability/we-decarbonize-the-construction-industry/ccus
5. 2026: A New Chapter for Carbon Capture and Storage in Europe - EERA CCS, accessed February 10, 2026, https://eera-ccs.eu/news-and-resources/8032:2026-a-new-chapter-for-carbon-capture-and-storage-in-europe.html
6. Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) Markets 2026-2036: Technologies, Market Forecasts, and Players - IDTechEx, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.idtechex.com/en/research-report/carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-ccus-markets/1122
7. ESG and Sustainability Insights 10 Things That Should Be Top of Mind in 2026, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.lw.com/en/insights/esg-and-sustainability-insights-10-things-that-should-be-top-of-mind-in-2026
8. Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage: Technologies and Costs in the U.S. Context, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-technologies-and-costs-us-context
9. Short guide to CCUS technologies: How carbon tracking helps | By Ivan Verkalets, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.hospitalitynet.org/opinion/4129093.html
10. CCUS Technologies | AGCS - Allianz Commercial, accessed February 10, 2026, https://commercial.allianz.com/news-and-insights/expert-risk-articles/ccus-technologies.html
11. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACHIEVING CO2 GEOLOGICAL STORAGE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY IN CHINA - Global CCS Institute, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/China-Policy-Report-16-January-2026.pdf
12. Defossilizing Industry: Considerations for Scaling-up Carbon Capture and Utilization Pathways - World Economic Forum, accessed February 10, 2026, https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Defossilizing_Industry_Scaling-up_CCU_2025.pdf
13. A Once-In-A-Mandate Opportunity: CCU Must Be Part of Europe's Circular Economy Act, accessed February 10, 2026, https://co2value.eu/a-once-in-a-

mandate-opportunity-ccu-must-be-part-of-europes-circular-economy-act/
14. Rethinking Carbon Emissions: How CCU Enables Circularity - Liquid Wind, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.liquidwind.com/news/rethinking-carbon-emissions-how-ccu-enables-circularity
15. CSRD in 2026: Who's in scope and what's due when | The Corporate Governance Institute, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.thecorporategovernanceinstitute.com/insights/guides/csrd-in-2026-whos-in-scope-and-whats-due-when/
16. CSRD Compliance in Manufacturing: Streamlining ESG Data Management - Mavarick, accessed February 10, 2026, https://mavarick.ai/blogs/csrd-compliance-for-manufacturers-esg-data-management/
17. ESG reporting requirements by industry for 2026 - Pulsora, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.pulsora.com/blog/esg-reporting-requirements-by-industry
18. ESRS E1: Understanding the Climate Reporting Standard in the CSRD - ClimateSeed, accessed February 10, 2026, https://climateseed.com/blog/esrs-e1-understanding-the-csrd-climate-standard
19. The 7 Key ESG Metrics Every Company Should Track in 2026 - Fiegenbaum Solutions, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.fiegenbaum.solutions/en/blog/7-key-esg-metrics-2025
20. Why Is Social Acceptance Important for Ccus? → Question - Pollution → Sustainability Directory, accessed February 10, 2026, https://pollution.sustainability-directory.com/question/why-is-social-acceptance-important-for-ccus/
21. ESG Risks: Why ESG Risks Should be on Your Radar - AuditBoard, accessed February 10, 2026, https://auditboard.com/blog/esg-risks
22. Getting to Final Investment Decisions Part 5: Top 5 Risks to Investing ..., accessed February 10, 2026, https://ccsknowledge.com/insight-accelerator/getting-to-final-investment-decisions-part-5-top-5-risks-to-investing-in-ccus/
23. How US climate and clean energy projects deliver community benefits | Carbon Direct, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.carbon-direct.com/insights/how-us-climate-and-clean-energy-projects-deliver-community-benefits
24. U.S. Sustainability Regulations 2026 Training - Cse-net.org, accessed February 10, 2026, https://cse-net.org/us-sustainability-regulations-2026/
25. California's new climate rules to redraw the ESG reporting playbook, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.governance-intelligence.com/esg/californias-new-climate-rules-redraw-esg-reporting-playbook
26. 4186419 - Augmented Data Management for Subsurface CCUS Data Sets, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.katalystdm.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Jess-Abstract-Augmented-Data-Management-for-Subsurface-CCUS-Data-Sets4186419.pdf
27. Quick Guide: Key Sustainability Disclosure Regimes: California climate disclosure laws, accessed February 10, 2026, https://sustainablefutures.linklaters.com/post/102l458/quick-guide-key-sustainability-disclosure-regimes-california-climate-disclosure
28. 2025 ESG Wrap-Up and 2026 Outlook, accessed February 10, 2026, https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2026/01/24/2025-esg-wrap-up-and-2026-outlook/

29. CCUS: 5 things to look for in 2026 | Wood Mackenzie, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.woodmac.com/news/opinion/carbon-management-2026-outlook/ccus/
30. Carbon capture and storage enters a new era of progress | articles ..., accessed February 10, 2026, https://think.ing.com/articles/energy-ccs-entering-a-new-era-of-progress/
31. The UK has the carbon capture technology – now it needs delivery ..., accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.carbonclean.com/insights/end-of-year-reflections
32. Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Investing - Park University, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.park.edu/blog/what-is-environmental-social-and-governance-esg-investing/
33. ESRS E1 CLIMATE CHANGE Table of contents - EFRAG, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.efrag.org/sites/default/files/media/document/2024-08/ESRS%20E1%20Delegated-act-2023-5303-annex-1_en.pdf
34. Factors Influencing Circular Carbon Economy Readiness Among Heavy Industries in China, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/3/999
35. Product Impact Data for ESG Reporting: The Complete Guide - Compliance & Risks, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.complianceandrisks.com/blog/the-complete-guide-to-product-impact-data-for-esg-reporting-from-digital-product-passports-to-framework-compliance/
36. Steel And Cement Just Became The Carbon Capture Story - decarbonfuse.com, accessed February 10, 2026, https://decarbonfuse.com/posts/steel-and-cement-just-became-the-carbon-capture-story
37. Industrial CCUS Market Size, Share | Growth Report [2025-2032], accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industrial-ccus-market-114650
38. Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Scores on International Credit Ratings: A Sectoral and Geographical Analysis - MDPI, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/21/9755
39. ESG Scores: A Comprehensive Guide to Measuring Sustainability Success - Capptions, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.capptions.com/blog/esg-scores
40. CSRD's ESRS E1 Climate Change - Sustainability Economics, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.sustainabilityeconomics.net/blogs/csrds-esrs-e1-climate-change
41. Prepare for the California Climate Disclosure Laws - KEY ESG, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.keyesg.com/article/preparing-your-business-for-the-california-climate-disclosure-laws
42. ESRS E1 climate change standard - ClimatePartner, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.climatepartner.com/en/knowledge/glossary/esrs-e1-climate-change
43. Wood Mackenzie forecasts trillion-dollar boom in carbon offsets and CCUS markets by 2050, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.woodmac.com/press-releases/wood-mackenzie-forecasts-trillion-dollar-boom-in-carbon-offsets-and-ccus-markets-by-2050/
44. Beyond greenwashing: how circular economy metrics could revolutionize ESG investing, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/

sustainability/articles/10.3389/frsus.2025.1588374/full
45. Circular Economy and ESG: Building Sustainable Business Models in the Manufacturing Sector - OASK Publishers, accessed February 10, 2026, https://oaskpublishers.com/assets/article-pdf/circular-economy-and-esg-building-sustainable-business-models-in-the-manufacturing-sector.pdf
46. ESG Scores: Evaluating Environmental, Social, and Governance Impact - Tractian, accessed February 10, 2026, https://tractian.com/en/blog/esg-score
47. ESG CATALYST - ICSI, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.icsi.edu/media/webmodules/GCL/ESG_Catalyst_February_10_2026_IT.pdf
48. CCUS（CO_2 Capture, Utilization and Storage） - Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.mhi.com/business/solutions/ccus/case-studies.html
49. CCUS (Carbon Capture, Usage and Storage) - Accord ESL, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.accord-esl.com/expertise/ccus-carbon-capture-usage-and-storage
50. Community Benefits Agreements: Opportunities, Barriers, and Best Practices, accessed February 10, 2026, https://www.cleanenergytransition.org/post/community-benefits-agreements-opportunities-barriers-and-best-practices
51. Community benefits agreements across the global energy supply chain, accessed February 10, 2026, https://climateandcommunity.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/Building-Community-Power-report.pdf
52. Carbon Capture Summit 2026 - OneStop ESG, accessed February 10, 2026, https://onestopesg.com/esg-events/carbon-capture-summit-2026
53. Community acceptance and social impacts of carbon capture, utilization and storage projects: A systematic meta-narrative literature review - PMC, accessed February 10, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9345485/